JS-6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 5:23-cv-01496-JWH-JDE **ELLYN NORRIS and** A.J. STONE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, **JUDGMENT** Plaintiffs, v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., Defendant. 

Document 84

#:2631

Filed 04/28/25

Page 1 of 2 Page ID

Case 5:23-cv-01496-JWH-JDE

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
<i>10</i>
11
12
13
14
<i>15</i>
<i>16</i>
<i>17</i>
<i>18</i>
19
20
21
22
23
24
<i>25</i>
26
27
28

1

Pursuant to the "Order Granting Defendants' Motions to Dismiss [ECF No. 73 in the *Hedrick* Case; ECF No. 68 in the *Goldstein* Case; and ECF No. 70 in the *Norris* Case]" entered substantially contemporaneously herewith, and in accordance with Rules 12(b)(6) and 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

It is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, and **DECREED** as follows:

- 1. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction over the above-captioned action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 2. The operative complaint in this action is the Second Amended Class Action Complaint [ECF No. 67].
- 3. The claims for injunctive relief of Plaintiffs Ellyn Norris and A.J. Stone are **DISMISED** for lack of jurisdiction.
- 4. Judgment is hereby **ENTERED** in **FAVOR** of Defendant Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and **AGAINST** Plaintiffs Ellyn Norris and A.J. Stone. Plaintiffs shall take nothing by way of their Second Amended Complaint. This action is **DISMISSED**.
- 5. To the extent that any party requests any other form of relief, such request is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 28, 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE